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Abstract
Since the first seroprevalence survey in 1999, the HIV prevalence in Abia State has increased from 1.8% to 7.3% in 2010. The state is currently experiencing a generalized epidemic, with most transmission occurring through heterosexual low-risk sex. Drivers of the epidemic include low knowledge of HIV prevention, low risk perception, predominantly male factor-driven risky sexual behavior, and low condom use. This study reviewed the state HIV epidemic trend in relation to response, sought to identify the gaps between the epidemic and response, and recommended measures to strengthen the state response.
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Introduction
Nigeria currently ranks third among countries with the highest number of people living with HIV/AIDS. HIV prevalence ranges between 1.0% and 12.7% among the 36 states in the country; Abia State is currently ranked 8th with a HIV prevalence rate of 7.3% [1,2]. The state is currently experiencing a generalised epidemic, with transmission occurring mostly in the general population.

Abia State HIV epidemiology
HIV prevalence
Abia State is the only state in Nigeria that has witnessed a persistent rise in HIV prevalence among African National Congress attendees since 1999, increasing from 3.0% in 1999 to 7.3% in 2010 [3]. The national prevalence, on the other hand, increased from 1.8% in 1991, peaked at 5.8% in 2001, and declined to 4.1% in 2010 (Figure 1). The state general population prevalence increased from 1.6% in 2007 to 3.2% in 2012, compared to a declining national prevalence from 3.6% to 3.4% in 2007 and 2010, respectively [4-5]. There is significant urban-rural disparity in HIV prevalence in the state, with a higher prevalence reported in rural areas (7.7%) than in urban areas (2.7%) in 2005. However, in 2010, a lower prevalence was observed in rural areas (3.0%) than in urban areas (9.0%) as shown in Figure 2. HIV prevalence among women between 15 and 24 years of age (which serves a proxy for new infections) declined from 7.3% in 2005 to 4.1% in 2008 and thereafter increased to 6.8% in 2010 (Figure 3), which suggests that the epidemic may likely escalate [3].

Dynamics of HIV transmission
Heterosexual transmission accounts for 80% of new infections; other modes of transmission including mother-to-child transmission account for the remaining 20% [6]. Factors responsible for the rising HIV prevalence in the state include among others, low knowledge of HIV prevention (53.5%), low personal risk perception (< 40%), multiple concurrent sexual partners (men, 18.8%; women, 0.6%), high rate of unprotected premarital sex among young people, low condom use (women, 32.2%; men, 71.1%), and persistence of economic and gender inequality [7-11]. Others are stigma and discrimination, poverty, increasing patronage of traditional healers, and absence of safe blood transfusions [12].

The role of most-at-risk populations (MARPs)
Geographic mapping of MARPs in the state showed 87, 5, and 3 active spots, and an estimate of 1,661, 324, and 20 for female sex workers (FSWs), men having sex with men (MSM) and intra-venous drug users (IDUs), respectively [13]. An earlier
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A national survey revealed low knowledge of HIV prevention methods (41%) and low level of comprehensive knowledge of HIV/AIDS (12%) among FSWs in the state, as well as multiple concurrent sexual partners, low condom use (89%), high prevalence of sexually transmitted infections (15%), and poor care-seeking behaviour [14]. Also, use of traditional medicine and charms, alcohol and drug use, participation in religious activities, and careful selection of clients were identified as measures adopted by sex workers to protect themselves [15].

The state’s response to the HIV epidemic

Until 2005, there was no coordinated HIV response in the state, as response to HIV was an individual’s responsibility. The state’s HIV response commenced in 2005 upon recognition of HIV as a threat to development, hence its inclusion in the state development strategy [16]. Following this, the Abia State Action Committee on AIDS was established to coordinate the state multi-sectorial response and development of the first state HIV/AIDS strategic plan [17]. The response intensified following transformation of the committee into the Abia State Agency for the Control of AIDS in 2010, alongside development of more state-specific policy documents – the second State HIV/AIDS Strategic Plan [3], the State Prevention Plan (SPP) [6], and the State Monitoring and Evaluation Plan [18] – and adoption of relevant national documents/guidelines for guidance on HIV interventions. Local government action committees on AIDS (LACAs) were established in all the 17 Local Government Areas (LGAs) to drive the response at the community level. Several healthcare and non-healthcare workers were trained on the provision of HIV services at both facility and community levels. Activities of implementing partners working in the state were streamlined in order to avoid duplication of efforts and promote efficient utilization of resources. Furthermore, economic and geographic barriers to HIV services were addressed through provision of free HIV services and establishment of additional service delivery points. Also, stigma and discrimination have been declining at facility, institutional, and community levels as a result of several strategic behavior change communication interventions implemented in the state.

Figure 1. HIV prevalence trend in Abia State and Nigeria (1991-2010)

Figure 2. HIV prevalence in urban and rural areas in Abia State (2005-2010)

Figure 3. HIV prevalence among young pregnant women (15-24 years of age) in Abia and Nigeria (2005-2010)
How adequate are HIV resources in the state?

Since the transformation of Abia State Action Committee on AIDS into an agency (Abia State Agency for the Control of AIDS) in 2010, the capacity of the establishment to coordinate the state response has increased significantly in terms of staffing and infrastructure; however, there is still a need to improve the competence of the staff of the agency on HIV program management. The implementation of the state response is divided into a public sector and a private sector response. The public sector response is implemented through the seven line ministries and LACAs, while the private sector response is implemented through civil society organizations/non-governmental organizations and private organizations. For about a decade, the World Bank has been supporting the state response through the provision of grants and training, as well as through establishing and strengthening HIV coordination mechanisms. Eighty percent of the response is health-related and is housed in the State Ministry of Health, coordinated by the State AIDS/STIs Control Programme Unit. Their primary responsibilities include the training of healthcare providers on HIV services, management of HIV commodities, and monitoring and evaluation. The State Ministry of Education implements the Family Life HIV/AIDS Education among in-school youths, and has so far covered 21.1% and 24.8% of the students and schools targeted, respectively [19]. Key sources of HIV funding in the state are the World Bank, the Global Fund and the President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR); however additional financial resources are required to meet the state targets. Financial support from the state government is low, though this does not often take into consideration the money spent on personnel emoluments and physical infrastructure. Also, private sector contribution is low and the only significant private-sector-led initiative in the State is the Niger Delta AIDS Relief project funded by Shell Petroleum Development Company [8].

How effective are the HIV interventions in the state?

Although implementation of HIV interventions in the state is based on globally accepted standards, the response has not kept pace with the epidemic. A review of the first strategic plan in 2010 revealed that the state failed to attain its objective of reducing the prevalence from 3.7% in 2005 by 40% in 2010; rather, it rose to 7.3% [16]. Reasons for this could be poor alignment of intervention efforts to drivers of the epidemic and low service coverage. Most service outlets are located in urban areas; hence, the majority of the rural residents (which account for 60% of the population) do not have access to them. There is a failure to target specific populations with appropriate interventions because state HIV data is not segregated by locality, gender, and age. Also, there are no state-specific data on MARPs behaviors, so these groups are not effectively targeted [6]. Finally, there is a paucity of operational research to help identify opportunities and constraints or barriers in HIV programming in the state.

Conclusions

It is evident that the state has made some progress in its HIV response in the form of improved coordination and increased HIV services coverage, among others. However, the state still needs to increase its funding of HIV services, expand service coverage, and increase generation of evidence through...
operational research to improve HIV program implementation with the goal of significantly reducing the prevalence of HIV/AIDS in Abia State, Nigeria.
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