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Abstract

Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) are major patient safety problems in hospitals, especially in intensive care units (ICUs). Patients in ICUs are prone to HAIs due to reduced host defense mechanisms, low compliance with infection prevention and control (IPC) measures due to lack of education and training, and heavy workload and low staffing levels, leading to cross-transmission of microorganisms from patient to patient. Patients with HAIs have prolonged hospital stays, and have high morbidity and mortality, thus adding economic burden on the healthcare system. For various reasons, in low-to-middle income countries (LMICs), the scale of the problem is huge; each year, many people die from HAIs. In this review, epidemiology of HAIs and infection prevention and control measures in ICUs is discussed, with special emphasis on LMICs. High rates of HAIs caused by multidrug-resistant organisms (MDROs) are serious problems in ICUs in LMICs. In view of increasing prevalence of MDROs, LMICs should establish effective IPC infrastructure, appoint IPC teams, and provide adequate training and resources. These resources to establish and appoint IPC teams can be released by avoiding ritualistic, wasteful, and unsafe IPC practices, and by diverting resources to implement basic IPC measures, including early detection of infection, isolation of patients, application of appropriate IPC precautions, adherence to hand hygiene, and implementation of HAIs care bundles and basic evidence-based practices.
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Introduction

Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) are serious patient safety problems in intensive care units (ICUs). Subsequently, a high proportion of these infections occurs in ICUs, with the rate exceeding 30% of all ICU admissions. ICU patients are prone to infection due to the reduced host defense mechanisms caused by the severity of illness, underlying diseases (diabetes, cancer, etc.), presence of multiple invasive devices resulting in disruption of anatomical and immunological protective barriers, and administration of various drugs. In addition, use of broad-spectrum antimicrobial agents has led to the emergence of multidrug-resistant organisms (MDROs).

On the other hand, due to heavy workload and low staffing levels, healthcare personnel working in ICUs have low compliance with hand hygiene and other basic infection prevention and control (IPC) measures, resulting in cross-infection of microorganisms from patient to patient [1]. For various reasons, in low-to-middle income countries (LMICs), the scale of the problem is huge; each year, more people die from HAIs than die from breast and prostate cancer, road traffic accidents, or war in these countries [2,3]. Due to high morbidity and mortality caused by these infections, early diagnosis and treatment of these infections with appropriate antibiotics is essential.

In this review, epidemiology of HAIs and infection prevention and control measures in ICUs are discussed, with special emphasis on LMICs. According to the World Bank Atlas method (2015), low-income economies are defined as those with a gross national income (GNI) per capita of $1,045 or less in 2013; middle-income economies are those with a GNI per capita of more than $1,045 but less than $12,746; and high-income economies are those with a GNI per capita of $12,746 or more. Lower-middle-income and upper-middle-income economies are separated at a GNI per capita of $4,125.
Epidemiology of healthcare-associated infections in ICUs

The European Prevalence of Infection in Intensive Care (EPIC) studies are some of the largest prevalence studies worldwide. In the EPIC-I study, which was conducted in 1992, ICUs from 17 countries in Western Europe participated. The overall rate of HAI was 45%, and 21% of infections were acquired in the ICU. The greater incidence of infection and higher mortality rates were detected in South European countries, with the lowest incidence found in Switzerland (9%–32%). The possible reasons for these observed differences were explained by the differences in ICU capacity and staffing level, education and training in IPC, patient characteristics, and variations in the definition of surveillance used in these countries [4]. However, socioeconomic status might be another factor affecting ICU infections in these countries. The second EPIC study was conducted in 2007 and was re-named The Extended Prevalence of Infection in Intensive Care. In this study, 1,265 ICUs from 75 countries participated. On the day of the study, 51% of the patients were classified as infected, and these patients had higher mortality and longer length of stay in the ICU and hospital. Furthermore, in this international study, it was found that HAI rates were related to healthcare expenditure, with higher rates of infection reported in countries that had a lower proportion of gross domestic product (GDP) spent on healthcare [5]. On the other hand, the socioeconomic burden of HAI is even more severe in LMICs. The International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) is the multinational research network established to control HAI in hospitals within limited-resource countries. According to INICC results, ICU-acquired infection rates in developing countries were three- to fivefold higher than in hospitals in the United States of America (USA). Furthermore, the country’s socioeconomic level and the type of hospital influenced HAI rates between LMICs [6].

On the other hand, antimicrobial resistance is a growing problem in ICUs all over the world [7]. ICUs in the USA and Europe reported that resistance of Gram-negative bacilli, specifically due to extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBLs) and carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE), are increasing in ICUs [8,9]. Infections caused by MDROs are more difficult to treat because options to treat these infections are limited; no new class of antibiotics against Gram-negative bacteria has been developed since the 1980s. As a result, infections caused by MDROs are not only difficult to treat but also very expensive, and are often more toxic than older agents. Infections caused by MDROs are often costly to treat and are often associated with much higher mortality [1,5]. The burden of HAI with MDROs is much higher in ICUs in LMICs because of the failure to apply proper IPC measures and because of the lack of antibiotic stewardship programs [10,11]. Among other factors, the main reasons for increased antimicrobial resistance with MDROs are inappropriate use of antibiotics (especially broad-spectrum agents), lack of education and training in antibiotic prescribing, lack of availability of hand hygiene facilities and/or products and personal protective equipment (PPE), and presence of invasive devices. These factors contribute to failure to implement standard or contact IPC precautions [1,12,13]. In developing countries, due to limited resources, healthcare systems have very poor IPC infrastructure. Limited resources are combined with lack of awareness due to absence of basic surveillance, education and training, inappropriate use of antibiotics due to lack of a good microbiology diagnostic facility to diagnose infections in a timely manner and to provide local data on antibiotic resistance [13-15]. High rate of HAI and inappropriate or lacking diagnostic facilities in developing countries encourage clinicians and ICU physicians to overuse antibiotics (especially broad-spectrum antibiotics) to cover all possible pathogens. Many ICU clinicians prefer to use broad-spectrum and prolonged duration of antibiotics both for treatment and surgical antibiotic prophylaxis [13-16]. This practice has detrimental effects, as prolonged duration and inappropriate use, especially of broad-spectrum antibiotics, will lead to development not only of increased incidence of MDROs and increased incidence of antibiotic-associated infections, especially Clostridium difficile infections. In addition, indiscriminate use of broad-spectrum agents also causes secondary infections with yeast [1,13,17-19].

MDROs can successfully be controlled by early detection of carriers by active surveillance and prompt isolation of all suspected/confirmed cases with standard and contact IPC precautions on admission. However, implementation of this policy in LMICs is difficult and costly due to the lack of good diagnostic microbiology facilities, lack of surveillance of MDROs, lack of isolation facilities, and low availability of PPE and hand hygiene products [1].
Infection control in low-to-middle income countries

Challenges

The major challenges in LMICs are the lack of government regulations and guidance to implement effective IPC infrastructure, and lack of financial support to establish IPC programs. Many countries with limited resources either do not have IPC infrastructure or the IPC team and program in healthcare facilities are not effective due to lack of resources and availability of properly trained IPC personnel. As a result, even in some hospitals where IPC infrastructure is established, the guidelines adopted are those published by high-income countries, and are not applicable in LMIC settings. Due to lack of IPC infrastructure in most LMIC setting, information on HAI surveillance and reporting is not available. In addition, information on burden of antibiotic resistance due to lack of good quality laboratory support is also lacking. As a result, it is not possible for IPC teams in local healthcare facilities to make a case that effective implementation of an ICP program to reduce HAI costs is cost effective. In addition, the lack of availability of information about the local antibiotic susceptibility patterns results in clinicians prescribing broad-spectrum antibiotics, which are not only expensive but promote antibiotic resistance. If local antibiotic susceptibility information is available to the clinicians, then money can be saved through the prudent use of narrow-spectrum antibiotics, and the use of less expensive antibiotics can be implemented. Since these data are not available, the impact of these interventions to discharge patients early and release beds has not been fully assessed [13,15,20].

In addition, preventing HCAs will improve patient safety and quality of care in healthcare facilities and help hospitals to prevent outbreaks.

An infection control team (ICT) consists of an infection control doctor (ICD) (which, depending on the country and resources, could be a hospital epidemiologist, infectious disease physician, or medical microbiologist) and infection control nurses (ICN). The ICT is mainly responsible for the day-to-day management of all IPC activities in the healthcare setting. It is recommended that a fully functioning and effectively communicating IPC team, including at least one full-time specifically trained infection control nurse with a ratio of 1:250 to 1:100 beds depending on the intensity and type of healthcare facility, an infection control doctor, and a professional skilled in data management is instituted at every healthcare facility. The IPC team will regularly monitor MDRO data and detect clusters/outbreaks and take appropriate actions [21]. However, in LMICs, due to the lack or late establishment of an official infection control program, infection control teams are inadequate, inexperienced, not well organized, and not well trained. In some hospitals, ICNs are not full-time equivalents and fulfill other responsibilities than infection control. IPC teams are therefore not always effective in preventing HAIs and not always successful in controlling cross-infection caused by MDORs [13,22].

Surveillance is the initial step towards reducing the risk of infection in ICUs. However, in developing countries, there is a lack of surveillance both at the local and national level. This is due to a lack of good quality laboratory support, and a lack of clear lines of communication both at the local and national level. Even these when these programs exist, there could be measurement errors regarding reporting of HAIs that can occur at the beginning of an IPC program [13,22].

The other leading problem in LMICs is overcrowding and heavy workload of healthcare personnel, who are the main determinants of compliance with IPC measures. Hugonnet et al. [23] demonstrated an association between a low nurse-to-patient ratio and increased risk of HAIs and estimated that 30% of infections could be prevented with a ratio of > 2.2 nurses to every patient. In LMICs, the numbers of healthcare workers are usually low; moreover, training of these personnel is often inadequate [13]. As a result, even when training is offered, it is difficult for clinical staff to attend due to low staffing levels in ICUs.

Hand hygiene is a simple and basic infection control measure [24]. However, adherence to the World Health Organization (WHO)’s Five Moments for Hand Hygiene is generally lower in ICUs than in other clinics due to the heavy workload [22]. In LMICs, infrastructure of ICUs (lack of sinks, water supply, difficult access to hygiene products, etc.), lack of training, behavioral aspects, poor nurse-to-patient ratio, and inappropriate use of gloves further reduce the compliance rates of hand hygiene in ICUs [13].

Inadequacy or lack of infection control products, hand disinfectants, PPE, and regular availability of clean water supply are important barriers for the implementation of effective IPC programs in developing countries. Another issue in these countries is unnecessary applications of and wasteful practices in infection control (routine environmental cultures, overuse of surface disinfectants, application of HEPA
filters in ICUs, fumigation of rooms, use of sticky mat and overshoes, gowning for visitors, etc.), failure to properly separate and dispose of waste, misuse of PPEs (gloves, masks, shoe covers), or inappropriate and overuse of antibiotics. Gloves are sometimes used instead of hand hygiene, resulting in cross-infections, especially of MDROs, during patient care. Shoe covers are unnecessarily used to keep the hospitals floor clean. Lack of national guidelines and regulations lead to healthcare workers unfamiliar with IPC measures [13,15].

Last but not least, a problem in LMICs is occupational exposure to pathogens including respiratory viruses, blood-borne pathogens, tuberculosis, and viral hemorrhagic fever. The majority of occupational infections have been reported from LMICs [25]. High prevalence of such pathogens in the community and lack of hospital IPC infrastructure and facilities (e.g., lack of isolation facilities, unavailability of infection control products, lack of PPE and hand hygiene products, lack of antimicrobials and immunization, reuse of single-use items, and heavy workload) constitute a severe risk for occupational exposure among healthcare workers. Organizational, administrative, and individual actions are necessary to reduce the risk of occupational exposure among HCWs [13,15,26].

Possible solutions

LMICs should have proper regulation and guidance to help direct IPC programs on a country level. Establishment of national surveillance and reporting of reporting HAI rates in hospitals and MDORs at the country level is important to assess the magnitude of the problem. However, achieving these objectives is challenging, as it will require expert knowledge and resources, which can be difficult to implement because of the lack of awareness and lack of resources due to other competing priorities. However, after the recent outbreaks of highly contagious infectious diseases (e.g., Ebola and other viral hemorrhagic fever, swine influenza, and severe acute respiratory syndrome [SARS]) and the emergence of MDROs, especially CRE, politicians in various countries are now paying attention, as outbreaks can have a major economic impacts.

An IPC programs should be embedded as a part of every hospital’s quality assurance programs. Well-trained ICN and ICD are necessary, so a standardized training program for ICN and ICD should be implemented nationwide. Though the ratio of infection control nurses to patient beds in LMICs has not been established, higher ratios are associated with better results, and the numbers should depend on the type of healthcare facility [27]. At the beginning of an IPC program, measures in infection control should be determined at the national level according to healthcare expenditure. Also, in the beginning, implementation of simple and cost-effective measures should be implemented. Educational and practical training should be provided to all clinics. Moreover, these activities will reduce the wasteful practices in infection control [15].

A training program is needed, but it alone is not enough. Implementation of multifaceted IPC programs and HAI care bundle strategy are needed for success in ICUs [21]. HAIs care bundles are packages of IPC measures based on evidence-based guidelines. A care bundle strategy is more effective than are single measures. However, selected care bundle elements should be simple, easy to implement, and inexpensive, and should be applicable to LMICs. Also, IPC teams should educate healthcare workers about care bundle elements, monitor compliance with bundle elements and the rates of HAIs (outcome) prior to implementing such programs. They should give feedback on compliance monitoring and on outcome surveillance data. The INICC showed successful reduction in device-associated infection rates with a multidimensional IPC approach in LMICs [28-30].

For the prevention of antibiotic-resistant bacteria, five strategies are recommended for healthcare settings: (i) implementation of IPC measures and isolation of patients to prevent cross-infection; (ii) early diagnosis of infection through surveillance and effective treatment of HAIs; (iii) prudent and rational usage of antimicrobials; (iv) education and training of clinical staff in IPC and appropriate use of antimicrobials agents; and (v) availability and monitoring of compliance with local antibiotic guidelines. The optimization of antibiotic usage for treatment and surgical prophylaxis (i.e., development of antibiotic stewardship programs in hospitals) is a principle of preventing resistance. This program can have different elements; additional efforts to improve education and training by implementing IPC guidelines and antibiotic prescription guidelines, surveillance, reporting local susceptibility data of microorganisms in timely manner, and using restricted antibiograms to avoid prescribing broad-spectrum antibiotics [1]. In ICU patients, initial antibiotic therapy usually covers most likely pathogens based on the local susceptibility data. This should be supplemented by antibiotic ward rounds by an ICU
clinical microbiologist. However, clinicians should keep in mind that if that de-escalation therapy is initiated, once the microorganisms are identified, treatment must be changed to narrow-spectrum antibiotic therapy. In Turkey, prescribing broad-spectrum parenteral antibiotics has been restricted to infectious disease specialists after 2004. Also, a nationwide antibiotic resistance surveillance system was established. Despite there being no randomized study showing the effectiveness of these regulations, there was a reduction of HAIs due to MRSA in ICUs in Turkey after these regulations were introduced [31].

Conclusions

High HAI rates with MDROs are serious problem in ICUs in LMICs. These infections are usually associated both with high morbidity and mortality. It is essential, in view of the increasing prevalence of MDROs, for LMICs to establish IPC infrastructure, appoint IPC teams, and provide adequate training and resources.

These resources can be made available by avoiding ritualistic and unsafe IPC practices and diverting resources and can enable the implementation of basic IPC measures including early detection and isolation of patients, application of standard and contact precautions, adherence to hand hygiene, implementation of HAI care bundles, establishment of IPC programs with practical training, and avoidance of wasteful practices.
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