Original Article

Association of *Ureaplasma urealyticum* infection with Varicocele-related infertility

Shahin Najar Peerayeh,¹ Reza Salman Yazdi,² Habib Zeighami.³

¹Department of Microbiology, School of Medical Science, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran.

²Royan Fertility Center, Royan Institute, Tehran, Iran.

³Department of Microbiology, School of Medical Science, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran.

Abstract

Background: The role of seminal colonization of *Ureaplasma urealyticum* in varicocele-related infertility was investigated. Methodology: Semen samples were obtained from infertile patients with or without varicocele and healthy controls and were subjected to routine semen analysis and PCR. DNA was extracted by Cadieux method and analyzed by PCR protocol with species-specific primers for *U. urealyticum* (urease gene).

Results: *Ú. urealyticum* was detected by PCR in 23 of 146 (15.75%) semen specimens from infertile patients and in 3 of 100 (3%) healthy men (P<0.001). Infertile patients with varicocele had higher *U. urealyticum* colonization [17/81(20.98%)] than those without varicocele [6/65(9.23%), P=0.086] or healthy controls [3/100 (3%), P<0.001]. The percentage of sperm cells with motility, volume of semen fluid, concentration of sperm cells, and sperm cell with normal morphology were significantly decreased in infertile men (P<0.001). In the group of varicocele patients with PCR positive for *U. urealyticum* the volume, count and morphology of semen samples were lower than those in the varicocele patients with PCR negative results, but the differences were not significant (P>0.05).

Conclusion: Although the colonization of *U. urealyticum* does not affect the semen quality, the high prevalence of this microorganism in varicocele patients may be an additional negative factor affecting varicocele status and worsening reproductive potential.

Key Words: Ureaplasma urealyticum, infertility, varicocele, semen, PCR, spermatozoa.

J Infect Developing Countries 2008; 2(2):116-119.

Received 6 June 2007 - Accepted 19 February 2008.

Copyright © 2007 Najar *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

Varicocele is a physical abnormality present in 2% to 22% of the adult male population [1]. It is a collection of enlarged veins in the scrotum. A varicocele occurs next to and above one or both of the testicles. It is more common in men of infertile marriages, with a prevalence rate of about 15% in healthy men and 40% in men being treated in infertility clinics [2]. The exact association between reduced male fertility and varicocele is unknown, but analysis of World Health Organization (WHO) data clearly indicates that varicocele is related to semen abnormalities, decreased testicular volume, and decline in Leydig's cell function [3].

Genital tract infection is the most important cause of male infertility affecting not only sperm cell function, but also the whole spermatogenesis [4,5]. *Ureaplasma urealyticum* is a self-replicating prokaryote belonging to the taxonomic class Mollicutes, which lack a cell wall. Genital *U*.

urealyticum colonization has been found to be involved in non-gonococcal urethritis (NGU), prostatitis, epididymitis and infertility [6,7]. Some investigators reported that the presence of U. *urealyticum* in semen was related to a decrease in densitv. motility sperm and morpholoav [8,9,10,11]. Since male fertility and semen quality might likely be affected by both varicocele status colonization seminal of Ureaplasma and urealyticum, we decided to determine the prevalence of this microorganism in infertile patients with and without varicocele compared with healthy men and to investigate their negative effects on semen parameters.

Materials and Methods

Patients: Data included in this study were selected from men who were consecutively admitted to the Royan Fertility Center, Tehran, Iran. The Investigation Committee of the Royan Institute approved the study protocol, and all participants provided informed consent. All patients were examined clinically, and medical, sexual, and social histories were obtained before entrance into the program. From February to June 2005, 146 semen samples (81 samples from varicocele patients and 65 samples from individuals without varicocele) were taken from patients aged 21 to 50 (34.3±4.2) years with infertility of at least one-year duration.

Control subjects (n=100) were men aged 20 to 40 (31.2±4.1) years, who were attending for checkup, who were clinically asymptomatic (they were fertile and did not have varicocele). All semen specimens were obtained after 2 to 3 days' abstinence. After liquefaction at room temperature, semen samples were subjected to routine semen analysis (semen volume, sperm concentration, motility and morphology) [12] and for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for *U. urealyticum* [13].

PCR: for PCR, samples were prepared as previously described [13]. Briefly, 1 ml of each sample was centrifuged at $12000 \times g$ for 10 minutes. The pellet was washed in PBS and resuspended in 30µl of distilled water. After boiling for 10 minutes, an aliquot of 7µl was used directly in PCR experiments.

The primers published by Blanchard et al. [14] were used for identification of *U. urealyticum*: primers U5 (5-CAATCTGCTCGTGAAGTATTAC-3) and U4 (5-ACGACGTCCATAAGCA ACT-3). The PCR assay was performed in 50µl of reaction mixture containing 10µl of 10x PCR buffer; 2.5 mM Mgcl2; 200µM dNTP; 1.25 units of Taq polymerase; 20pmol of each primer; and 7µl of sample DNA. The reaction mixtures were placed in a thermal cycler (Eppendorf, USA). The thermal profile involved an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 3 minutes followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1 minute, primer annealing at 52°C for 1 minute, and primer elongation at 72°C for 1minute. The cycling was followed by a final extension step at 72℃ for 10 minutes. Aliquots of amplified samples (10µl) were analyzed by electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel and stained with ethidium bromide.

Statistical analysis: Results are presented as mean values with standard deviation (SD).The statistical significance was assessed using Chi-Square (X2) test and Mann-Whitney test.

Results

PCR results: *U. urealyticum* was detected by PCR in 23 of 146 (15.75%) semen specimens from infertile patients and in 3 of 100 (3%) healthy men (P<0.001).

As shown in Table 1, in the group of infertile patients, the prevalence of *U. urealyticum* in patients with varicocele was higher [17 of 81 (20.98%)] than in those without varicocele [6 of 65 (9.23%)], although this difference was not significant (P=0.086). The *U. urealyticum* was detected in both groups of infertile patients higher than that of the healthy controls, but in the group of varicocele patients, this difference was found to be statistically significant (P<0.001).

Table 1. Detection of U. urealyticum from infertilepatients and healthy men by PCR.

	Patients with Varicocele	Patients without Varicocele	Healthy men
No. of sample	81	65	100
No. of PCR positive	17(20.98%) [*]	6(9.23%)	3(3%)
P<0.001.			

A photograph of electrophoresis based on bromide-stained agarose gel for PCR-amplified products from the Ureaplasma strains is presented in figure 1. A 429bp fragment of the urease gene was amplified for identification of *U. urealyticum*. They have been shown previously to be highly specific for *U. urealyticum* and under optimal conditions, to allow detection of <10CFU of each serotype of the organism [14].

Figure 1. Electrophoretic analysis of PCR products for *U. urealyticum* from semen samples.

Lane1,100bp size marker:lane 2, standard strain (429bp); lane 3 negative control (distilled water),lane 4,5,6,7) positive patient samples.



Semen parameters: Volume of semen, percentage of sperm cells with motility, concentration of sperm cells and percentage of normal sperm cells in infertile patients (with or without varicocele and *U. urealyticum*) were significantly lower than those in healthy men (Table 2, P<0.001).

Table 2. Seminological analysis from infertile patients and healthy men.

Patients with Varicocele			
PCR positive	PCR negative		
2.56±0.70	3.47±0.70		
24.16±7.64	20.57±3.22		
13.43±10.55	29.12±6.56		
8.40±3.55	9.26±1.48		
Patients without Varicocele			
PCR positive	PCR negative		
2.05±0.61	2.98±024		
10.83±4.90	17.46±1.87		
6.0±3.26	38.21±9.08		
5.17±2.37	8.31±0.38		
Healthy men			
_			
Total			
0.47	0.4.4		
3.4/±0.14			
39.01±0.39			
92.50±6.62			
	PCR positive 2.56±0.70 24.16±7.64 13.43±10.55 8.40±3.55 Patients witho PCR positive 2.05±0.61 10.83±4.90 6.0±3.26 5.17±2.37 Health To 3.47± 39.01 92.50		

In the group of infertile-varicocele patients with PCR positive for *U. urealyticum*, the concentration of sperm cells, volume of semen, and percentage of normal sperm cells were lower than those in infertile-varicocele patients with PCR negative results, although they were not statistically significant (Table 2).

The percentage of normal sperm cells, volume of semen, percentage of sperm cells with motility and concentration of sperm cells in the group of infertile patients without varicocele, but with PCR positive for *U. urealyticum* were lower than those in in patients with PCR negative results, although these differences were not found to be statistically significant (Table 2).

Discussion

Many studies have shown that there is a higher rate of infertility in men with varicocele compared to those who did not have a varicocele. Varicocele is thought to cause spermatogenic defects by raising the intratesticular temperature in both the affected and contralateral testes [15]. On the other hand, most studies have compared the incidence of *U. urealyticum* in semen with semen parameters and the implication of fertility treatment [8-11,16,17,18]. These finding raise a major question: Is there any role for U. urealyticum in pathophysiology of varicocele-induced damage? U. urealyticum has been found to be involved in prostatitis, epididiymitis, and infertility [6-10]. The mechanisms by which U. urealyticum affects sperm quality has not been elucidated. Some investigators reported that the presence of U. urealyticum in semen was related to a decrease in semen volume, count, motility, and morphology [16-19], but the others were unable to correlate the presence of U. urealyticum with any alteration in semen characteristics [20,21]. Herein we have shown that U. urealyticum colonization in varicocele patients was significantly higher than that in healthy men (P<0.001). In this study we have also shown that the prevalence of U. urealyticum in patients with varicocele was higher than in those without varicocele, although this difference was not significant (P=0.086). The volume, count and normal morphology of semen samples in varicocele patients with PCR positive for U. urealyticum were lower than those in the varicocele patients with PCR negative results, although they were not statistically significant. These results indicate that U. urealvticum colonization may be an additional negative factor influencing varicocele status and worsening the reproductive potential. However, although our study demonstrated a significant increase of seminal colonization of U. urealyticum in infertile men with varicocele, we did not find that it caused adverse effects on semen quality.

U. urealyticum lacks a cell wall; it can adhere to the sperm membrane, thereby potentially causing gamete dysfunction [22]. Adherence of *U. urealyticum* to the sperm membrane may also enhance the adverse effects of superoxide and hydrogen peroxide produced by the organism, with subsequent spermatozoan hyper production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [23]. Potts, *et al.* [11] reported that the seminal ROS are elevated among patients with *U. urealyticum*. These investigators suggested that the ROS induces lipid peroxidation, which reduces membrane fluidity and

sperm fertilization capability, and may be the mechanism by which *U. urealyticum* impairs sperm function.

In conclusion, this study has shown a high prevalence of *Ureaplasma urealyticum* in varicocele patients. Although this colonization apparently does not cause adverse effects on semen quality, further studies are necessary to investigate the potential pathophysiological role of *Ureaplasma urealyticum* in varicocele..

References

- 1. Kursh ED (1987) What is the incidence of varicocele in a fertile population? Fertil Steril 48:510-511.
- Chehval MJ, Purcell MH (1992) Deterioration of semen parameters over time in men with untreated varicocele: evidence of progressive testicular damage. Fertil Steril 57:174-77.
- World Health Organization (1992) The influence of varicocele on parameters of fertility in a large group of men presenting to infertility clinics. Fertil Steril 57: 1289-93.
- 4. Henkel R, Schill WB (1998) Sperm separation in patients with urogenital infections. Andrologia 30:91-97.
- Sanocka D, Frqczek M, Jedrzejczak P, Szumala-Kakol A Kurpisz M (2004) Male genital tract infection: an influence of leukocytes and bacteria on semen. J Reprod Immunol 62:111-24.
- 6. Badalyan RR, Fanarjyan SV, Aghajanyan IG (2003) Chlamydial and ureaplasmal infections in patients with nonbacterial chronic prostatitis. Andrologia 35:263-5.
- Kilic D, Basar MM, Kaygusuz S, Yilmaz E, Basar H, Batislam E (2004) Prevalence and treatment of Chlamydia trachomatis, Ureaplasma urealyticum, and Mycoplasma hominis in patients with Non-Gonococcal Urethritis. Jpn J Infect Dis 57:17-20.
- Han XD, Wang Y, Chen JX (2003) A comparative study on interrelations among microelements, infection of Ureaplasma urealyticum, and male infertility. Arch Androl 49:265-9.
- 9. Shalika S, Dugan K, Smith RD, Padilla SL (1996) The effect of positive semen bacterial and Ureaplasma cultures on *in vitro* fertilization success. Hum Reprod 11:2789-92.
- 10. Potts JM, Sharma R, Pasqualotto F, Nelson D, Hall G, Agarwal A (2000) Association of Ureaplasma urealyticum with abnormal reactive oxygen species levels and absence of leukocytospermia. J Urol 163:1775-78.
- 11. Reichart M, Levi H, Kahane I, Bartoov B (2001) Dual energy metabolism-dependent effect of Ureaplasma urealyticum infection on sperm activity. J Androl 22:404-12.
- 12. World Health organization (1992) Laboratory munaul for the examination of human semen and semen-cervical

mucus interaction. 3rd ed. New York: Cambrige University Press.

- Cadieux N, Lebel P, Brousseau R (1993) Use of a triplex polymerase chain reaction for the detection and differentiation of Mycoplasma pneumoniae and Mycoplasma genitalium in the presence of human DNA. J Gen Microbiol 139:2431-2437.
- Blanchard A, Henstehel J, Duffy L, Baldus K, Cassell GH (1993) Detection of Ureaplasma urealyticum by polymerase chain reaction in the urogenital tract of adults, in amniotic fluid, and in the respiratory tract of newborns. Clin Infect Dis 17(suppl 1):S 148-53.
- 15. Sandlow JL (2004) Do varicoceles really affect male fertility? Sexuallity, Reproduction & Menooause 2:219-21.
- 16. Malka R, Kahane I and Bartov B (2000) *In vitro* and *In vivo* impairment of human and Ram sperm nuclear chromatin integrity by sexually transmitted Ureaplasma urealyticum infection. Biol reprod 63: 1041-1048.
- Nunez-Calonge R, Caballero P, Redondo C, Baquero F, Martinez-Ferrer M, Meseguer MA (1998) Ureaplasma urealyticum reduces motility and induces membrane alterations in human spermatozoa. Hum Reprod 13:2756-61.
- Kohn FM, Erdmann I, Oeda T, El Mulla KF, Sehiefer HG, Sehill WB (1998) Influence of urogenital infections on sperm functions. Andrologia 30(Suppl 1): 73-80.
- Xu C, Sun GF,Zhu YF, Wang YF (1997) The correlation of Ureaplasma urealyticum infection with infertility. Andrelogia 29:219-26.
- 20. Upadhyaya M, Hibbard BM, Walker SM(1984) The effect of Ureaplasma urealyticum on semen characteristics. Fertil Steril 41:304-308
- 21. Andrade-Rocha FT (2003) Ureaplasma urealyticum and Mycoplasma hominis in men attending for routine semen analysis. Prevalence, incidence by age and clinical setting, influence on sperm characteristics, relationship with the leukocyte count and clinical value. Urol Int 71:377-81.
- 22. Fowlkes DM, Dooher GB, O,Leary WM (1975) Evidence by scanning electron microscopy for an association between spermatozoa and T-mycoplasmas in men of infertile marriage. Fretil steril 26:1203-1207.
- 23. Meier B, Habermehl G (1990) Evidence for superoxide dismutase and catalase in mollicutes and release of oxygen species. Arch. Biochem Biophys 277:74-79.

Corresponding Author: Najar Peerayeh S, Department of Microbiology, School of Medical Sciences, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran. Fax:+98-21-88013030. E-mail:najarp_s@modares.ac.ir

Conflict of interest: No conflict of interest is declared.