# Original Article

# Antibiotic susceptibility and prevalence of foodborne pathogens in poultry meat in Romania

Sorin Daniel Dan<sup>1</sup>\*, Alexandra Tăbăran<sup>1</sup>\*, Liora Mihaiu<sup>2</sup>, Marian Mihaiu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Animal Breeding and Food Safety, University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Clui-Napoca. Romania

<sup>2</sup> Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, University of Medicine and Pharmacology Iuliu Hatieganu, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

\*Authors contributed equally to this work

#### Abstract

Introduction: The occurrence of pathogenic strains in poultry meat is of growing concern in Romania. Another problem found on a global level is the continuous increase of antimicrobial resistance in bacteria isolated from food. This study aimed to evaluate the prevalence of pathogenic bacteria in poultry carcasses obtained in Romania in 2012–2013 and to reveal the most prevalent patterns of antimicrobial resistance in the isolated strains.

Methodology: A total of 144 broiler chicken carcasses were evaluated according to classical microbiological methods. The DNA was extracted from the bacterial colonies and the resistance genes were identified by PCR.

Results: In 2012, 47.2% of the samples revealed at least one of the following bacteria: *Campylobacter jejuni* (9.72%; n = 7), *Salmonella enterica* serotype Enteritidis (4.17%; n = 3), *Listeria monocytogenes* (15.28%; n = 11), and *Escherichia coli* (16.67%; n = 12). In 2013, the number of positive samples of pathogenic bacteria decreased, although *Campylobacter jejuni* was isolated in a higher percentage (20.8% vs. 9.72%). The percentage of multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteria was high (23%); the most prevalent pattern included resistance to tetracycline, sulfonamides, and quinolones/fluoroquinolones. All the resistant *Salmonella* and *E. coli* strains were tested for the presence of characteristic resistance genes (*Kn*, *bla*<sub>TEM</sub>, *tetA*, *tetB*, *tetG*, *DfrIa*, *aadA1a*, *Sul*) and revealed that these isolates represent an important reservoir in the spread of this phenomenon.

Conclusions: Our findings suggest that Romania urgently needs an integrated surveillance system within the entire chain, for drug-resistant pathogens isolated from poultry meat.

Key words: poultry; meat; pathogen; gene; resistance.

J Infect Dev Ctries 2015; 9(1):035-041. doi:10.3855/jidc.4958

(Received 05 March 2014 - Accepted 19 September 2014)

Copyright © 2015 Dan *et al.* This is an open-access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### Introduction

Poultry meat is a good source of animal protein, appealing to consumers very easily due to its sensorial attributes. In terms of safety, poultry meat ranks first or second in food associated with disease in Australia, Canada, and England, while in the United States it is considered a prevalent food vehicle of reported foodborne disease outbreaks [1]. Contamination can occur at several points throughout the processing operation, the most incriminating factors being the temperature abuse and improper handling or preparation [2]. Processed raw poultry meat naturally harbors bacteria, but most of these bacteria are responsible for the spoilage of poultry meat, and thus are non-pathogenic to humans [3]. However, many studies have shown that poultry products can harbor bacteria capable of causing human diseases [4].

Each country and region has its own unique food safety problems related to culture, climate, and economic status, but some bacteria are common to all poultry meat produced, regardless of the area. The most frequent outbreaks associated with consumption of contaminated poultry are caused by *Salmonella* spp., *Staphylococcus aureus*, and occasionally by *Bacillus cereus* and psychotropic pathogens such as *Aeromonas hydrophila*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, and *Yersinia enterocolitica* [4]. *Campylobacter* spp. is not usually connected with outbreaks, due to erroneous diagnosis or the difficulties in detection and isolation of the pathogen [5]. In light of their importance, the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) and the World Health Organization (WHO) have established risk assessments on *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* in broiler chickens [6-8].

Another public health concern is associated with the increased incidence of antibiotic-resistant strains isolated from poultry meat [9].

Due to the widespread use of antimicrobials in chicken growth units, the development of resistant strains that can infect humans via the food chain has increased [10]. Although in Romania, data regarding foodborne diseases associated with resistant pathogenic strains in poultry is lacking, it is considered to be significant.

The aim of this research was to assess to what extent the most popular foodborne pathogens are prevalent in poultry meat produced in Romania. The study of the antibiotic-resistant properties of these pathogens was another goal of this work.

# Methodology

#### Collection, isolation, and identification of bacteria

A total of 144 broiler chicken carcasses were collected from two slaughtering units in Transylvania between 2012 and 2013. Each month, six samples (n =72/year) were evaluated. The isolation of the pathogenic microorganisms present on the surfaces of the carcasses was made based on the standardized methods in conformity with the regulation (EC) 1441/2007 [11]: the identification of Salmonella spp. (SR EN ISO 6579/2003 AC/2006) [12], the identification of Campylobacter jejuni/coli (SR EN ISO 10272/2006) [13], the identification of Listeria monocytogenes (SR EN ISO 11290/2000 A1/2005) [14], the identification of Echerichia coli (SR ISO 16649/2007) [15], and the identification of Yersinia enterocolitica (SR EN ISO 10273/2003) [16]. Briefly, for the isolation of Salmonella spp., XLD (Oxoid, Frankfurt, Germany) and Rambach (LabM, England) media were used; the inoculated plates were incubated afterwards at 37°C. Campylobacter jejuni/coli were isolated on Columbia media (Oxoid) supplemented with blood, in complete anaerobic conditions and incubated at 41°C. Listeria monocytogenes was detected using the ALOA and Oxford media (LabM) and incubated at 37°C. For E. coli isolation, TBX media (Oxoid) was used, incubated at 44°C. For Yersinia enterocolitica, CIN media (Oxoid) was used, followed by incubation at 32°C.

# Determination of minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs)

The antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed by agar dilution method on Muller-Hinton agar (Oxoid). All pathogenic strains were tested for sensitivity to ampicillin (A), chloramphenicol (C), sulphmethoxazole streptomycin (S), (Su). oxytetracycline (T), kanamycin (Km), and ofloxacin (O). The resistance breakpoints were those established by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) [17]. The interpretation was performed according to the following MIC ranges: 0.5–128 g/mL for ampicillin; 0.5-256 g/mL for chloramphenicol; 1-512 g/mL for streptomycin; 16-512 g/mL for sulphamethoxazole; 1-512 g/mL for oxytetracycline: 0.5-512 g/mL for kanamycin; and 0.25-64 g/mL for ofloxacin.

For *Campylobacter jejuni*, the MICs of tetracycline, ciprofloxacin, nalidixic acid. erythromycin, chloramphenicol. gentamicin. and amoxicillin-clavulanic acid were determined by the Etest method (AB Biodisk, Solna, Sweden) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The breakpoints were those recommended by CLSI for C. jejuni/C. coli [17], except for nalidixic acid. chloramphenicol, gentamicin, and amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, for which the breakpoints for Enterobacteriaceae were used [17].

## Bacterial DNA preparation

The bacterial DNA extraction followed the basic steps previously described by Yang *et al.* [18] with a few particularities. Briefly, 150  $\mu$ L of CHELEX (10%) reactive (Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) was added in Eppendorff tubes (1.5 mL) (RatioLab, Dreieich, Germany). The tubes were subjected to UV sterilization in a microbiological laminal flow class II to remove any possible contaminants from the manipulation performed earlier. One to two colonies were harvested with a sterile microbiological loop and immersed in the CHELEX reactive. The following extraction temperatures were used: 57°C for 30'; 94°C for 5'. The last step included a high-speed centrifugation (14,000 rot/min.) for 1 minute.

# Detection of resistance genes

Detection of antimicrobial resistance genes was performed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR).

The PCR reaction mix (25  $\mu$ L) comprised 1X PCR green buffer, 2.5 mM MgCl2, 5 pmol of each primer, dNTPs each at 200  $\mu$ M, 2.5 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Promega, Madison, USA), and 100 ng of

genomic DNA. PCR was performed under the following conditions: 94°C for 3 minutes followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 seconds, 50°C–68°C for 30 seconds, 72°C for 1 minute, and a final extension step of 72°C for 7 minutes. The PCR primers used are listed in Table 1.

# Statistical methods

OriginPro (software version 8.5, Origin Lab Institute, NorthHampton, USA) was used for the analysis of variance (ANOVA) one-way and the least significant difference test. These tests were performed to evaluate the results obtained in the total bacterial load and to evaluate the prevalence of resistance genes. Tukey post-hoc means comparison and Levene's test for equal variance were also included. Differences were considered significant at a pvalue lower than 0.05.

# Results

## Bacterial isolation and prevalence of pathogens

Following the analyses made during the year 2012, 54.2% (n = 39) of the total samples (n = 72) did not

reveal the presence of pathogens (Figure 1), while 45.8% (n = 33) revealed at least one of the following

bacteria: *Campylobacter jejuni* (9.72%; n = 7), *Salmonella* Enteritidis (4.17%; n = 3), *Listeria monocytogenes* (15.28%; n = 11), and *E. coli* (16.67%; n = 12) (Figure 1).

In 2013, the prevalence of pathogens decreased (43.05%; n = 31), with no significant differences compared to the year 2012 (p > 0.05) (Figure 2).

*Yersinia enterocolitica* bacteria was not found in the samples analyzed during 2012–2013.

# *Characterization of resistance genes and antimicrobial susceptibility profiles*

All of the pathogenic isolates showed markedly high resistance rates to the antibiotics tested. The isolates that showed resistance to more than three antibiotics were classified as multidrug resistant (MDR).

In the case of *Salmonella* Enteritidis isolates (n = 5), only one showed MDR, the pattern revealing resistance to tetracycline, nalidixic acid, and cefotaxime.

Bacteria	Gene or region	Primer sequence	Reference	Size (bp)	T <sub>a</sub> (°C)
Salmonella spp.	aadA1a	F-GTGGATGGCGGCCTGAAGCC	Guerra et al. $(2001)$ [22]	526	69
		R-AATGCCCAGTCGGCAGCG	Guena ei ui. (2001) [52]	520	08
	Kn	F-ACTGGCTGCTATTGGCGA	Eraps at al. $(2001)$ [33]	525	55
		R-CGTCAAGAAGGCGATAGAAGG	11ana et ut. (2001) [55]		
	$bla_{\text{TEM}}$	F-GCACGAGTGGGTTACATCGA	Carlson at $al$ (1000) [24]	310	68
		R-GGTCCTCCGATCGTTGTCAG	Carison <i>et al</i> . (1999) [34]		
	tetA	F-GCTACATCCTGCTTGCCT	$Carlson = t_{ab} (1000) [24]$	210	52
		R-CATAGATCGCCGTGAAGA	Carlson <i>et al.</i> (1999) [34]		
	tetB	F-TTGGTTAGGGGCAAGTTTTG	Corlean at $al (1000)$ [24]	659	52
		R-GTAATGGGCCAATAACACCG	Carison <i>et al</i> . (1999) [34]		
	tetG	F-GCTCGGTGGTATCTCTGCTC	$C_{1} = 1_{1} + (1, 0, 0) + (2, 4)$	468	59
		R-AGCAACAGAATCGGGAACAC	Carlson <i>et al.</i> (1999) [34]		
E. coli	aadA1a	F-GCAGCGCAATGACATTCTTG	Score at $a_{1}(2004)$ [25]	680	60
		R-ATCCTTCGGCGCGATTTTG	Saenz <i>et al.</i> (2004) [55]		
	$bla_{\text{TEM}}$	F-ATTCTTGAAGACGAAAGGGC	$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{q}}$	1150	60
		R-ACGCTCAGTGGAACGAAAAC	Beladouaj <i>el ul.</i> (1994) [30]		
	TetA	F-GTAATTCTGAGCACTGTCGC	$C_{\text{resolution}} \rightarrow \pi l (2000) [27]$	600	50
		R-CTGCCTGGACAACATTGCTT	Guardabassi <i>et al.</i> $(2000)$ [37]		
	TetB	F-CTCAGTATTCCAAGCCTTTG	Cuerdahagai at $al (2000)$ [27]	416	57
		R-CTAAGCACTTGTCTCCTGTT		410	57
	DfrIa	F-GTGAAACTATCACTAATGG	Navia et al. (2003) [38]	474	55
	Sul	F-TGGTGACGGTGTTCGGCATTC	Score at $a_{L}(2004)$ [25]	789	63
		R-GCGAGGGTTTCCGAGAAGGTG	Saenz <i>et al.</i> (2004) [35]		
	Sul2	F-CGGCATCGTCAACATAACC	$S_{22} = 1 + 1 + (2004) [25]$	722	50
		R-GTGTGCGGATGAAGTCAG	Saenz <i>et al.</i> (2004) [35]		
	Sul3	F-CATTCTAGAAACAGTCGTAGTTCG	$S_{22} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} $	990	51
		R-CATCTGCACGTAACCTAGGGCTTTGGA	Saenz <i>et al</i> . (2004) [35]		

**Table 1.** Polymerase chain reaction and primer conditions

F: forward; R: reverse; T<sub>a</sub>: annealing temperature

Figure 1. Prevalence of pathogenic bacteria identified in poultry carcasses in 2012 (n = 72)



Figure 2. Prevalence of pathogenic bacteria identified in poultry carcasses in 2013 (n = 72)



Antibiotic	Salmonella enteritidis (n = 5)	<i>E. coli</i> (n = 18)	Campylobacter jejuni (n = 22)	Listeria monocytogenes (n = 19)
β-lactams	2 (40%)	5 (27.7%)	1 (4.54%)	2 (10.52%)
Ampicillin	0	5 (27.7%)	1 (4.54%)	2 (10.52%)
Cefotaxime	1 (20%)	0	0	0
Ceftazidime	1 (20%)	0	0	0
Aminoglycosides	1 (20%)	2 (11.11%)	0	0
Kanamycin	0	0	0	0
Streptomycin	1 (20%)	2 (11.11%)	0	0
Sulfonamides	1 (20%)	4 (22.22%)	3 (13.63%)	1 (5.26%)
Sulfamethoxazole	1 (20%)	4 (22.22%)	3 (13.63%)	1 (5.26%)
Sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim	1 (20%)	4 (22.22%)	3 (13.63%)	1 (5.26%)
Quinolones and fluoroquinolones	2	10 (55.5%)	2 (9.09%)	4 (21.05%)
Nalidixic acid	2 (40%)	8 (44.4%)	2 (9.09%)	2 (10.52%)
Ciprofloxacin	0	6 (33.33%)	2 (9.09%)	2 (10.52%)
Tetracycline	2 (40%)	12 (66.66%)	7 (31.81%)	6 (31.57%)
Chloramphenicol	0	4 (22.22%)	2 (9.09%)	4 (21.05%)

**Table 2.** Antimicrobial resistance of pathogenic isolates from chicken carcasses

The penicillin resistance of the three Salmonella isolates was suspected given the fact the  $bla_{\text{TEM}}$  gene was detected in these isolates. This gene is normally incriminated in the resistance to ampicillin and ticarcillin and also to cephalosporins such as cefalothin and cefazolin. Surprisingly, all of the Salmonella isolates detected were susceptible to ampicillin, and only two isolates showed resistance to cefotaxime and ceftazidime (Table 2). The streptomycin-resistant isolate carried the *aadA1* gene and tested positive also for sulphmethoxazole. Both Salmonella isolates that were resistant to tetracycline (40%) had amplification reaction with *tetA* primers, while there were no amplification products detected when tetB and tetG primers were employed. No isolates tested carried the Kn gene, which normally confers resistance to kanamycin.

Multiple resistances to antibiotics in E. coli isolated from poultry carcasses were also found. Overall, resistance was most frequently observed to tetracycline quinolones (66.66%), and fluoroquinolones (55.5%), ampicillin (27.7%), sulfmethoxazole (22.22%),trimethoprim/sulfmethoxazole (22.22%),and chloramphenicol (22.22%). Regarding the presence of resistance genes, all of the E. coli isolates tested positive to at least one. The isolates resistant to tetracycline tested positive to tetA gene (66.6%), and five of them (27.7%) also contained the beta-lactamase  $bla_{\text{TEM}}$  gene. Genes that are responsible for resistance to sulfonamides (Sul, Sul1) and trimethoprim (DfrIa) were also detected (22.22%). It is important to mention that 52% of the E. coli isolates were MDR. The most common resistance profile of MDR isolates was tetracycline, sulfonamide, and trimethoprim.

A large percentage (60%) of the Campylobacter *jejuni* isolates (n = 22) proved to be susceptible to antibiotics. Nine of the 22 isolated strains showed MDR, the most prevalent resistance pattern being sulphmethoxazole, tetracycline. and sulfmethoxazole/trimethoprim. All the isolates that were resistant to sulfonamides were also resistant to Nalidixic acid and ciprofloxacin tetracycline. resistance was detected in 9% of the samples associated with tetracycline resistance. Only one sample was resistant to ampicillin (4.54%) and found in association with tetracycline and ciprofloxacin resistance.

*Listeria monocytogenes* isolates (n = 19) were found to be susceptible especially to aminoglycosides. The most prevalent resistance pattern was found for tetracycline and chloramphenicol.

# Discussion

The increased level of pathogenic strains isolated from poultry meat obtained in Romania is a great reason for concern. Another problem identified in our study is the high number of bacteria resistant to antibiotics, which shows that preventive medications are still given to broilers in order to reduce mortality. The recognition of the dangers of antibiotic resistance prompted the ban on sub-therapeutic antibiotic usage in Europe [20]. The application of antibiotics not only increases the resistance in pathogenic strains but also leads to resistance in the endogenous flora of humans and animals [21]. Following the consumption of poultry meat, MDR bacterial strains may spread to the human population, which will lead to the transfer of genes coding for resistance [22]. The dissemination pathways of bacterial resistance from animals to humans were described earlier by Hummel et al. [23]. Levey et al. [24] also confirmed that in chickens fed tetracycline, the transfer rate of tetracycline resistance genes between Escherichia coli strains from chicken to chicken and from chicken to human was higher.

In the case of *Salmonella* Enteritidis, the percentage of positive samples was lower, but not in a significant way (p > 0.05). A significant decrease in prevalence was noticed at *Listeria* spp. bacteria (15.3% vs. 11.1%). A concerning fact was the consistent increase of *Campylobacter jejuni* (20.8% vs. 9.72) prevalence (p < 0.05). The results obtained were similar to those reported by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) in 2007–2011 [19].

*E. coli*, *Campylobacter* spp., *Salmonella* spp., and *Listeria* spp. are frequent contaminants of food of animal origin, having been recovered in a total of 32% (n = 46) samples in this study; most of the isolates had a multi-resistant phenotype. The presence of genes in *Salmonella* Enteritidis and *E. coli* isolates that confer resistance to some antimicrobial agents (sulfonamides, ampicillin, tetracycline) were especially high (34% to 54%), indicating that these isolates originating from poultry meat could be a serious reservoir of antimicrobial resistance.

This report also shows that 23% of the strains were resistant to at least three antimicrobial agents tested, suggesting that preventive antibiotics were given to broilers. These results are in agreement with recent investigations that showed a high prevalence of multidrug-resistant bacteria in poultry carcasses [25-30]. To our knowledge, this is the first detailed report concerning the prevalence of pathogenic bacteria and the characterization of various resistance genes in Romania.

The significant usage of quinolones, enrofloxacin, and tetracycline in poultry production in our country has lead, as shown in our study, to the emergence of quinolone-resistant and tetracycline-resistant Campylobacter jejuni, E. coli, Salmonella Enteritidis, and Listeria monocytogenes. It is therefore important to examine tetracycline and also ampicillin resistance markers, as they are associated with transposable, multidrug-resistant elements [31]. Penicillins and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole are antimicrobial agents commonly used, which explains the presence of the incriminated resistance genes in the isolated strains. The high resistance pathogenic to sulfmethoxazole in E. coli and Campylobacter jejuni isolates was not surprising, since sulfmethoxazole (in combination with trimethoprim) is widely used in Romania, both in human and veterinary medicine.

#### Conclusions

This study indicates that in Romania, there is an increasing emergence of antibiotic resistance among pathogenic bacterial strains in poultry. This fact is supported by the detection of various resistance genes in *E. coli* and *Salmonella* Enteritidis isolates. In order to diminish contamination rates by resistant pathogenic bacteria in poultry meat, it is critical that risk reduction strategies are used throughout the food chain. These findings call for integrated efforts to promote the appropriate use of antimicrobials in food animals and for the implementation of a surveillance system of drug resistance in bacterial pathogens in Romania.

#### Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the USAMV Cluj-Napoca grant No. 1359/ 8.02.2013.

#### References

- Sams AR (2001) Poultry meat. In Poultry Meat Processing and Quality, 1st edition. New York: Taylor & Francis, CRC Press. 395 p.
- 2. Ayres CP (1995) Microbiology of Spoilt Food and Food Stuffs. Food Microbiol J 16: 266-280.
- 3. Mead GC (2001) Food Safety Control in the Poultry Industry. London: Woodhead Publishing, CRC Press. 565 p.
- 4. European Food Safety Authority (2009) The Community summary report on trends and sources of zoonoses and zoonotic agents in the European Union in 2007. The EFSA Journal 223. Available: http://www.efsa.europa.eu/EFSA/efsa\_locale-1178620753812\_1211902269834.htm. Accessed January 15, 2014
- Satin M (2002) Use of irradiation for microbial decontamination of meat: situation and perspectives. Meat Science 62: 277-283.

- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (2002) Risk assessment of *Campylobacter* spp. in broiler chickens and *Vibrio* spp. in seafood. Report of a Joint FAO/WHO Expert Consultation. Bangkok, Thailand, 5–9 August 2002: FAO Food and Nutrition Paper. Available: http://www.who.int/foodsafety/publications/micro/aug2002.p df. Accessed February10, 2014.
- 7. World Health Organization and Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (2002) Risk assessments of Salmonella in eggs and broiler chickens. Microbiological Risk Assessment Series No. 2. Rome/Geneva: FAO/WHO.
- World Health Organization and Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (2009) Risk assessment of Campylobacter spp. in broiler chickens: Technical Report. Microbiological Risk Assessment Series No. 12. BRome/Geneva: FAO/WHO.
- 9. Montserrat MM, Yuste J (2010) Emerging Bacterial Pathogens in Meat and Poultry: An Overview. Food and Bioprocess Technology 3: 24-35.
- Mihaiu L, Lapusan A, Tanasuica R, Sobolu R, Mihaiu R, Oniga O, Mihaiu M (2014) First report on the prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of Salmonella spp isolates in retail meat products in Romania. J Infect Dev Ctries 8: 50-58.
- Commission Regulation (EC) No 1441/2007 of 5 December 2007 amending Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005 on microbiological criteria for foodstuffs. Official Journal of the European Union L 338/1.
- Romanian Standards Association. SR EN ISO 6579/2003, SR EN ISO 6579 AC/2009. Microbiology of food and feeding stuffs. Horizontal method for the detection of *Salmonella* spp.
- Romanian Standards Association. SR EN ISO 11290-1/2000, SR EN ISO 11290-1/A1 2005. Microbiology of food and feeding stuffs. Horizontal method for the detection and enumeration of *Listeria monocytogenes*.
- Romanian Standards Association. SR EN ISO 16649-2/2007. Microbiology of food and feeding stuffs. Horizontal method for the detection and enumeration of *Escherichia coli* βglucuronidase positive.
- 15. Romanian Standards Association. SR EN ISO 10272/2006. Microbiology of food and feeding stuffs. Horizontal method for the identification and isolation of *Campylobacter* spp.
- 16. Romanian Standards Association. SR EN ISO 10273:2003. Method for the detection of presumptive pathogenic *Yersinia enterocolitica*.
- 17. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2006) Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk Susceptibility Tests. CLSI document M2-A9. CLSI: Wayne, PA.
- 18. Yang JL (2008) A simple and rapid method for extracting bacterial DNA from intestinal microflora for ERIC-PCR detection. World J Gastroenterol 14: 2872-2876.
- European Food Safety Authority (2013) The European Union summary report on trends and sources of zoonoses, Zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2011. EFSA Journal 11: 3129.
- Apata DF (2009) Antibiotic Resistance in Poultry. Int J Poultry Science 8: 226-230.
- Kolar M, Antucek P, Ardon RB, Agnerova JV, Ypovska IT, Oskar HD, Alka JVI (2002) Occurrence of antibiotic-resistant bacterial strains isolated in poultry. Vet Med Czech 47: 52-59.
- Bogaard AE, Stobberingh EE (2000) Epidemiology of resistance to antibiotics. Links between animals and humans. Intern J Antimicrob Agents 14: 327-335.

- 23. Hummel R, Tschäpe H, Witte W (1996) Spread of plasmidmediated nourseothricin resistance due to antibiotic use in animal husbandry. J Basic Microbiol 8: 461-466.
- 24. Levey SB, Fitzgerald GB, Macone AB (1976) Spread of antibiotic resistance plasmids from chicken to chicken and from chicken to man. Nature 260: 400-421.
- Aarestrup FM, Agers Y, Ahrens P, Jorgensen JC, Madsen M, Jensen LB (2000) Antimicrobial susceptibility and presence of resistance genes in staphylococci from poultry. Vet Microbiol 74: 353-364.
- Bebora LC, Oundo JO, Yamamoto H (1994) Resistance of E. coli strains, recovered from chickens to antibiotics with particular reference to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. East Afr Med J 72: 624-627.
- 27. Keyes K, Hudson C, Maurer JJ, Thayer S, White DG, Lee MD (2000) Detection of florfenicol resistance genes in Escherichia coli isolated from sick chickens. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 44: 421-424.
- Manie T, Khan S, Brozel VS, Veith WJ, Gouws PA (1998) Antimicrobial resistance of bacteria isolated from slaughtered and retail chickens in South Africa. Lett Appl Microbiol 26: 253-258.
- 29. Ojeniyi AA (1985) Comparative bacterial drug resistance in modern battery and free-range poultry in a tropical environment. Veterinary Record 117: 11-12.
- 30. Ojeniyi AA (1989) Public health aspects of bacterial drug resistance in modern battery and town/village poultry in the tropics. Acta Vet Scand 30: 127-132.
- 31. Manges AR, Smith SP, Lau BJ, Nuval CJ, Eisenberg JN, Dietrich PS (2007) Retail meat consumption and the acquisition of antimicrobial resistant Escherichia coli causing urinary tract infections: a case-control study. Foodborne Pathog Dis 4: 419-431.
- 32. Guerra B, Soto SM, Arguelles JM, Mendoza MC (2001) Multidrug resistance is mediated by large plasmids carrying a

class 1 integron in the emergent Salmonella enterica serotype. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 45: 1305-1308.

- 33. Frana TS, Carlson SA, Griffith RW (2001) Relative distribution and conservation of genes encoding aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes in Salmonella enterica serotype typhimurium phage type DT104. Appl Environ Microbiol 67: 445-448.
- Carlson SA, Bolton LF, Briggs CE (1999) Detection of multiresistant Salmonella typhimurium DT104 using multiplex and fluorogenic PCR. Mol Cell Probes 13: 213-222.
- Sáenz Y, Briñas L, Domínguez E, Ruiz J (2004) Mechanisms of resistance in multiple-antibiotic-resistant Escherichia coli strains of human, animal and food origin. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 48: 3996-4001.
- 36. Belaaouaj A, Lapoumeroulie C, Caniça MM (1994) Nucleotide sequences of the genes coding for the TEM-like βlactamases IRT-1 and IRT-2 (formerly called TRI-1 and TRI-2). FEMS Microbiol Lett 120: 75-80.
- Guardabassi L, Dijkson L, Collard JM, Olsen JE, Dalsgaard A (2000) Distribution and in vitro transfer of tetracycline resistance determinants in clinical and aquatic Acinetobacter strains. J Med Microbiol 49: 929-936.
- 38. Navia MM, Ruiz J, Sanchez-Cespedes J, Vila J (2003) Detection of dihydrofolate reductase genes by PCR and RFLP. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 46: 295-298.

### Corresponding author

Alexandra Tăbăran Department of Animal Breeding and Food Safety University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca, Manastur street no.3/5, Romania; Phone/fax:+40 0264593792 Email: tabaran\_alexandra@yahoo.ro

Conflict of interests: No conflict of interests is declared.